M

FOR MYSORE STATE

Public (1956) Department.

R 1992 14

R SERIES.

G.O. No. 770

Dated 26/4/56

passed

S

sept.

S
21/9/56

[Despatch Abstract.]

M.R.S. — Education — S. Kanara Dt. — Mangeshwar Block — construction of building — payment of 1st grant — Sanctioned

To

The Director of Rural Welfare

Madras.

Humble representation of:-

Y. Subraya Bhat,

Manager,

Aided Elementary School, Vethadka

Kumbdaje Village

South Kannara District.



Sir,

Sub Building for Elementary School grants from N.M.S.

Requested.

Ref. Orders of the Block Development Office -Manjeshwar (S.K)

I beg to bring to the kind notice of the Director of Rural Welfare the following:-

I am the Mangager of the aidded Elementary School - Vethadka in Kasaragod Taluk of South Kannara District .The School has been permanently recognised as a Complete Elementary School and is under my management since 1919 -the date of opening the school.

The School was originally housed in a thatched building standing on Patta lands belonging to one Sri.Krishna Bhatta. Since the School building was not a suitable one and since the same was an out of the way place, it was decided to shift the building to a more central place.So we selected a government waste land in S.No.132 of Kumbdaje village -which is centrally situated for the construction of the building and applied for alienation of the plot for school purposes. But due to some illegitimate opposition of a neighbouring landholder for the proposal,it took about 9 (nine years) before we could

get the land alienated. By the time the original building went into ruins and the inspecting officers were inducing the management to shift the school .

But due to financial difficulties we could not put up a building soon , and hence were continuing the school in the old building and finally in 1953, we applied for building grant to the Director of Public Instrution - Madras and were eagerly awaiting sanction orders. But to our great disappointment no order were passed by the Director of Public Instruction in this regard for about a couple of years. In the mean time the time granted to us for constructing the school building on the alienated plot , got expired as per conditions of the alienation, and hence we applied for granting extension of the period and we were granted a time of one year more, for the same by the Board of Revenue -Madras.

But even before the expiery of the extended period, we did not get any orders from the Director of Public Instruction regarding our request for building grants.

While we were very seriously considering as to how we could put up a building , we were given to understand that building grants can be given from the National Extension Service Scheme.

So we contacted the officers of the Manjeshwar -N.E.S.Block, to which this area belongs and put in a petition requesting for building grants in June 1955 and we finnally believed that we could get the grants. This petition of ours was forwarded to the District Educational officer Mangalore for remarks. On reciept of instructions from the District Educational Officer, I withdrew the original application to the Director of Public Instruction requesting for Building grants, which was pending Sanction. We were told that the records, took about four months to be returned to the Block Development Office- after being recomended by the Educational

officer.

In the meanwhile since the orginal building became totally unfit for housing the school, and since the time granted for us ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ constructing the school building was nearing the date of expiry , we had to put up the need building, most hurridly without waiting for the departmental estimates and sanctions etc. ~~and we had to borrow money for the purpose from others xx thinking~~ that we could repay the loan on reciept of the grant . The building has cost us over Rs.8000/=.~~xxx~~ Subsequently it has been ordered by the Block Davlopment office Manjeshwar that grants from the N. E.S.Cannot be given for the building already completed and due to that , I have been put to ~~xxxx~~ great hardships and unbearable financial commitments. I therfore pray that the Director of Rural Welfare may Kindly be pleased to order ~~xxxx~~ the Concerned officers of th e N.E.S, department for sanctioning a building grants of Rs.4000/= for this item of work taking into account the valuation of th e works already done . I beg to bring to the notice ofthe Director in this connection that item of work has been already included in the integrated plan.

Even though as per rules ~~xxxx~~ I ought to have obtained the sanction and estimates for the work before commencing the same under unavoidable circumstances mentioned above. I had to accelerate the construction work with borrowed money. The work was commenced only after applying for the grants and after getting the work included in the integrated plan of work for the Block.

I on behalf of the villages once again prey , that the Director may be pleased to consider this case of ours most sympathetically and sanction the grant applied for, as a special case, and thus ~~xxixx~~ help us in our endevour of promoting the education of the masses in ~~xx~~ rural areas like ours.

Praying for ~~an~~ early favourable orders,

Yours faithfully,

ವಳಕ್ಕಾಡು ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿ

Manager-Aided Elementary school, Vethadka.

Vethadka
Dated 16- 12-1955.

4/4

Publ(RDP)VII)

Endt. No. 68084 RDP VII/55-1 Dt 3/1/56.

Referred to the collector, S. Kanara, for ~~necessary action~~ immediate remarks.



The collector,
South Kanara.

Under Secretary to Govt.
Publ(RDP)Dept.
3/1/56

Issue
4/2/56.

5

Memo. No. 68084 RDP VII / 552 Dt. 1/2/56. Pub (RDP VII)

Sub:- ~~Building for Edu. School grants from M.E.S.~~ - Requested M.E.S - Mangeshwar Block - Building Ground for Kambadje Edu. School.

Ref:- Endt. No. 68084 RDP VII / 55-1 Dt. 3/1/56.

C... I BRANCH
... Scrutiny Clerk's Initials.

The collector of South Kanara is requested to ~~offer~~ submit remarks for in the reference cited to expeditiously.

The collector of S. Kanara, Mangalore.

29/2/56.

[R. D. 3 weeks.]

1/3/56.

1/3

Ref.M8.2064/56.

S.K.Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 12;3.56.

From

Sri M.G.Raja Ram, I.A.S.,
Collector of South Kanara, Mangalore.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Government,
Public(R.D.P.)Department, Fort St.George, Madras-9.

Sir,

NATIONAL EXTENSION SERVICE - Manjeshwar Block- Kumbdaje Village- construction of a building for the aided Ele. School Yethadka- Sanction of grant - representation- report submitted.

-:o:-

Ref: Govt.Endorsement No.68084/SR.D.P.VII/55-1 dated 3.1.56.

-:o:-

The petition referred to in this case is from one Sri Y. Subraya Bhat, Manager of the Yethadka Aided Ele.School: He requests that a building grant of Rs.4000/- may be sanctioned for his school from the National Extension Service funds.

2. The Manager of the School is reported to have originally submitted an application to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras, requesting for a grant of Rs.4000/- for the construction of a new building for the school. The enquiry by the Education Department on this application took a considerably long time and the grant was not sanctioned even upto the end of 1955. As the condition of the old school building deteriorated seriously, the management commenced the construction of the new building with the hope that the grant applied for would be sanctioned by the Director of Public Instruction. A substantial portion of the building was thus completed by spending about Rs.8000/- When the petitioner came to know that building grants would be sanctioned from National Extension Service funds, he put in an application to the Block Development Officer, Manjeshwar, and on instructions from the District Educational Officer, South Kanara, he withdrew his previous application; to the Director of Public Instruction Madras. But by the time the enquiry on the application filed before the Block Development Officer, was completed, it was found that the construction of the school building had already been completed. It was therefore considered not possible by the

by the Block Development Officer to proceed with the proposals and the petitioner was informed accordingly.

3. The Block Development Officer,Manjeshwar, now reports that the building put up by the petitioner, is in confirmity with the specification of the Education Department, but that it may require about Rs.3000/- more to complete it. A copy of the detailed report of the Block Development Officer is enclosed.

4. The construction of a building for the Aided Ele. School, Kumbdaje village, is a work included in the integrated plan of the Manjeshwar Block. In view of the special circumstances reported by the Block Development Officer, I recommend that a grant of Rs.4000/- or 50% of the actul cost of the building whichever is less may be sanctioned.

5. The records of enquiry (Pages 1 to 66) are enclosed for favour of perusal and return.

Yours faithfully,

1/2 For Collector.

KV/15.3.

Copy of letter Ref.A.489/56 dated 2.3.56 from the Block Development Officer, Manjeshwar, to the Collector, South Kanara.

-:o:-

NATIONAL EXTENSION SERVICE - Manjeshwar Block- Kumbadaje village - construction of a building for the aided Ele. School Yethadka- Sanction of grant -Representation- Report- submitted.

-:o:-

R-ef: Collector's Ref..M8.2064/56 dated 3.2.56.

-:o:-

The petition referred to in this case is from Sri Y. Subraya Bhat, Manager of the Yethadka Aided Ele.School. The school has been granted permanent recognition and is efficiently running since 1919. The school was originally housed in a thatched building constructed on a private (patta) land. As the place and building was found to be unsuitable for the purpose and as the owner of the land wanted the land for some other purpose, the management decided to shift the school to a new site in R.S.No.132, of Kumbdaje village after getting the plot alienated and constructing a building for the school. Unfortunately, the alienation process took about 9 years on account of objections raised by a local landholder. Finally a portion of land measuring 0.75 cents in R;S.No. 132/1B was alienated to the Management for the construction of a school building. The alienation was sanctioned in B.P.Mis.No.647 dated 2.6.51.

2. On getting the land alienated, the management decided to put up a building costing about Rs.11,100/ and accordingly submitted an application to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras requesting for a grant of Rs.4,000/- for the construction of the building. Here again, the enquiry by the Education Department took a considerable time and had not been sanctioned even upto 1955. In the meanwhile, the condition of the old school building deteriorated seriously. Under these circumstances, the management commenced the construction of the building with the earnest hope that the grant of Rs.4000/- applied for from the Director of Public Instruction would be sanctioned shortly. The Management thus proceeded with the work and completed a substantial portion spending about Rs.8,000/- By this time, fresh orders were received authorising payment of building grants from the National Extension Service funds. The Manager, therefore submitted a

(47)

separate application to this office for the sanction of a grant of Rs.4,000/- for the school building.

3. The application received in this office was forwarded to the District Educational Officer for his remarks. On instructions from the District Educational Officer, the Manager withdrew his previous application for building grant submitted to the Director of Public Instructions, Madras. On further enquiry after receipt of the file with the District Educational Officer's recommendation, it was found that the building structure had already been put. It was therefore not possible to proceed with the proposal and the Manager was informed accordingly.

The facts narrated above show that the petitioner has been put to considerable loss and hardships merely due to the procedure delay involved in his application for the grant. The applicant has constructed a building costing about Rs.8,000/- largely out of borrowed money. The building has been constructed on the site alienated as per plan prepared by an Upper Subordinate Engineer. The building is in confirmity with the specification of the Education Department. The building may require about Rs.3,000/- more to complete it. The Manager is not in a position to meet this expenditure. The people of Kumbdaje as well as the local institutions like Panchayat Board and Gramaseva Sangham have already constributed their mite for the several welfare activities sponsored under the National Extension Service Scheme. Yethadaka is an interior place in Kumbdaje village and the school with a strength of 110 pupils is rendering efficient service to the people of the locality.

5. In consideration of the circumstances under which the building was put up before the sanction of grant and in view of the facts that the applicant has spent a considerable amount for a bonafide public purpose, I strongly recommend that the Government in sympathetic and favourable consideration of the applicant's request, may be pleased to sanction as a special case a grant of Rs.4,000/-(Four thousand only) or 50% of the actual cost of the school building whichever is less, under the provisions sanctioned in G.O.Ms.No.1594. Public (RDP)Dept.dated 16.5.55.

6. The connected records(pages 1-66) are enclosed for favour of reference and return. /True Copy/ For Collector.

Pub.(RDP.VII)Deptt.

Abstract:- N.E.S. - Education - South Kanara Dt. - Manjeshwar Block - construction of building - payment of 50% grant - sanctioned.

Read:- 1.From Sri Y.Subraya Bhat, Manager, Aided Ele. School, Yethadka, Kumbdaje Village, South Kanara Dt., dated 16-12-55;
2.From the Collector of South Kanara, Ref.M8.2064/56 dated 12-3-56.

G.O. No. Rt. 770 Public(RDP) dated 26-4-56.

The B.D.O. Manjeshwar rejected the application for aid from N.E.S. funds from Sri Y.Subraya Bhat, Manager, Aided Ele. School, Kumbdaje Village, South Kanara District for a school building on the ground that it has been constructed already.

The Collector, South Kanara has stated that a substantial portion of the ~~kix~~ building has been constructed at a cost of Rs.8,000/- while enquiries on the application of the Manager for aid by Education Department and B.D.O. ~~were going on,~~ and that as a special case 50% of the total cost of the building subject to a maximum of Rs.4000/- may be sanctioned from N.E.S. funds.

2. The Government accept the recommendation of the Collector, South Kanara, and direct that 50% of the cost of the building subject to a maximum of ~~xxx~~ Rs.4000/- may be paid.

3. The expenditure should be debited to "63-B. C.D. Projects - N.E.S, & L.D. works - ~~xix~~ II N.E.S. (d) - Education - works".

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH
Desp. Port.
Despatched by: Asst. P. A. Manager.
Date: 27/4/56
Dy. Secretary's Initials.

To

The Collector of S.Kanara.
Copy to B.D.O. Manjeshwar.
Copy to Accounts B & C.
S.F.

(Publ RDP VII)

Sub: N.E.S. – Manjeshwar Block – Kumbadaje Village – construction of a building for the Aided Ele. school at Yethadka – Representation.

fol 1-3 cf Ref: (1) From Sri Y. Subraya Bhat, Manager, Aided Ele. School, Yethadka, Kumbdaje Village, S. Kanara Dt.

fol 4 cf (2) Endt. No. 68084 RDP VII/56-1 dt. 3/1/56.

fol 7-10 cf. (3) From the collector of S. Kanara Rc. M8. 2064/56 dt. 12/12/56.

fol 1-3 cf. This c. file deals with the representation of Sri. Y Subraya Bhat about the building grant to the Ele. School under his management & to his request for Rs. 4,000/- from N.E.S. funds. He has stated in his petition at fol 1-3 cf. that it has taken 9 years to get the plot of land S. No. 132 alienated in order to centrally locate the school building. He then applied to the D.P.I. for building grant as the Inspecting officers insisted on a new structure. But even after two years no order was forthcoming. Meanwhile the permission given as per the alienation terms got expired &

the Management applied for one year extension. This was granted by the Govt. On hearing that building grants are given from the N.E.S. funds the petitioner applied in June 1955 to the collector of S. Kanara for the same. On the instructions from the D.E.O., S. Kanara, the petitioner withdrew his former application for grants to the Edn. dept. It took 4 months to the D.E.O. to recommend the case & sent the papers to the B.D.O., Manjeshwar. Mean while the petitioner was compelled to put up the building from borrowed money as the date of the extended period was nearing the date of expiry of alienation. The B.D.O. Manjeshwar, has then rejected the application for aid on the ground that the work has already been completed. Thereupon the petitioner has come to Govt. for reconsideration of his request for a grant of Rs. 4,000 out of the amount spent, ie Rs. 8,000/-, for the construction of a building.

On the Govt's second cited Ibid. the collector's remarks were called for. The collector has now stated that it took considerable time to the Education dept to the enquiry on the proposal & that the petitioner started construction in the hope that the grant

applied for would be ~~granted~~ sanctioned ~~that~~ as the condition of the school building deteriorated. Further a substantial portion of the building was constructed when the petitioners applied for building grant from N.E.S. funds. As the work was completed the proposal was rejected by the B.D.O. Manjeshwar. But the collector now reports that ~~xx~~ the school work was one of the items included in the overall plan of the Manjeshwar block that the school building put up by the petitioners is in accordance with the specifications of the Education Dept. ~~that~~ a sum of Rs. 4000/- may be granted in view of the special circumstances.

✓ in view of the fact that Rs 3,000/- more is required for completing the building

(1) On this connection it is submitted that as per orders in G.O. No. 640 (Public Works) dt. 28/2/55 only a sum of Rs. 1,500/- is permissible to schools put up without estimates. It is, therefore, for consideration whether Rs 4,000 or Rs 1,500/- has to be sanctioned.

28/3/56
2/4/56.

This seems to be a hard case for sympathetic consideration by Govt. Administrative delays seem to be the cause of much agony for the management of the school.

4.

A major portion of the building has been completed it is said that its cost will be about Rs 8000/-. It is reported Rs 3000/- more will be required to complete it. Instead of assessing the actual cost of the building constructing till now the Collr. may arrange to have an estimate for the completion of the building subject to a ceiling of Rs 4000/-. The entire expr may be borne by the CD funds. If there is a difference between Rs 4000/- & the actual cost of further construction it may be sanctioned as building grant for the portion of the building already put up. This will satisfy the rules to the extent possible & the School will have a complete building for its use.

If the above is approved ~~REPORT~~ Fair copy

Dee for Concurrence

4/4/56

no work has been started in anticipation of sanction but on the expectation of getting a good grant. We feel that the equal assistance we would be able to educational district will materially alter the case for the better. One more may be taken up in the NES scheme and equal Rs. of the est (exclusive of the cost of the done already) might be a maximum & Govt may be sanctioned

4/4 5/4/56 5/4/56

S?

F. 15 EA

Publ(RDP)VII)

7

W.o.t. the orders at ps above a draft order is submitted for approval.

9
18/4/56.
9
11/4/56.

M 18/4 Fin

Fin (Emp A) Deptt

The notes on ps 1-5 above, and the draft order below may be perused.

Publ(RDP) Deptt.
U.O.No. 68084RDPVII/56-3
17/4/56.

Ekm
20/4/56.
20.4.56

1
20/4/56

Publ(RDP)
Publ(RDPVII)



The draft order may kindly be issued

9
24/4/56.
25

1
20/4

Fin U.O.No. 37304 EA/56-1
23.4.56.

[Issued as G.O.Rt.No. 770 Publ(RDP)Dept. dt 26-4-56



56588

Re .M..2064/56. S.K.Collector's Office,
[illegible]or, t 10. .5.

Fro

Sri[illegible].R[illegible]ao,I.A.S.,
Collector of Post.[illegible]r,[illegible]lore.

[illegible]
[illegible]t Secretary to the Government
Public(R.D. .)Department, Post Bet.[illegible]r s, [illegible]r.3-3.



[illegible]NATIONAL EXTENSION SERVICE - [illegible]- [illegible] and [illegible]
[illegible]- Building- construction of a building for the [illegible]der Ele.
[illegible]School Kothadka- [illegible]tion of [illegible]rant- payment of 5%
[illegible]eductions- retrocession of records of enquiry- Remarks.

-:o:-

Ref: C.O.Mt.770 dated 20.4.56.

Ppu-12 of 1(P)

-:o:-

Pub(RDP)VII [illegible]. (8)

The records of enquiry noted in the [illegible] submitted with this
Pub(RDP)VII no. office memo. [illegible]2064/56 dated 18.3.56, may kindly be returned, for taking
necessary further action in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

11/5

For Collector.

88
15/5/56

IMYS

Pub RDP VII
wo. no. 67895/58-1
[illegible] AV/11.5.

5

Pub(Rdgs)IV

Issue
27/5/86

By registered post / A.D.

Memo No. 67895 RDP VII/86-1

Dt. 22/8/86.

Sub: N.E.S. — Mangalore Block — Kundadaje Villages — Construction of building — Records returned.

Ref: From the collector of S. Kanara no. Rev. HR. 2074/86 Dt. 10/5/86.

The records of enquiry, pages 1 to 66 are enclosed as desired. Their receipt may be acknowledged.

21/5/86.

The Collector of S. Kanara, Mangalore (w.c)

21/5/86

(RD sweets)

Note: please see p/c. The draft above is for approval.

21/5/86. 21/5/86.

CENTRAL DESPATCH BRANCH

Van. / Post

Despatched by Regd. A/D / Ord. 5376

Despatcher's Initials

To

Date

5

Ref.2064/56.

S.K.Collector's Office,
Mangalore, dated 31-5-56.

From
Sri.M.G.Raja Ram, I.A.S.,
Collector of South Kanara,
MANGALORE.

To
The Joint Secretary to Government,
Public (RDP) Department,
Fort St.George, Madras.9.

Sir,



Sub: NATIONAL EXTENSION SERVICE - Manjeshwar Block Kumbdaje village - Construction of a building for the Aided Ele.School Yethadka - receipt of records of enquiry - acknowledged.

Ref: Govt.Memo.No.69895/RDP.VII/56-1 dated 22-5-56.

-:0:-

The records of enquiry pages 1 to 66 have been received in this office.

Yours faithfully,

31.5.56

For Collector.

75392

hss.31.5.

b

Publ (R&D Vn)

Please see the acknowledgement overleaf. The job may be budged & added to job at (L)

24/4/86.

SECT